Certificate of Electronic Filing

I hereby certify that this correspondence for Serial No. 10/711,478 is being electronically transmitted to Technology Center 3600, via EFS-WEB on May 30, 2006.

/Steven W. Benintendi/

Steven W. Benintendi, Reg. No. 56,297

May 30, 2006

Date

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Aaron DeLong et al.

Serial No.: 10/711,478

Filed: September 21, 2004

Group Art Unit: 3612

Examiner: Stephen Gordon

Confirmation No.: 5477

Title: CONNECTOR HAVING AN INTEGRATED GASKET AND

METHOD OF MAKING THE SAME

Attorney Docket: MASL-61

Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 May 30, 2006

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

This reply is in response to the Restriction Requirement mailed May 10,

2006. The following remarks are respectfully submitted.

Amendments to the claims begin on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks begin on Page 5 of this paper.

Application No. 10/711,478
Response dated May 30, 2006
Reply to Office Action mailed May 10, 2006

AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

1. (Original) A connecting member for securing a trim assembly to a support of an automobile, comprising:

a connector body having a first end adapted to couple to the trim assembly and a second end adapted to couple to the support, said connector body having a first hardness; and

a sealing gasket integrally molded with said connector body, said sealing gasket having a second hardness less than the first hardness and adapted to form a seal between the connecting member and the support when the trim assembly is coupled thereto.

- 2. (Original) The connecting member of claim 1, wherein said connector body is formed from polypropylene.
- 3. (Original) The connecting member of claim 2, wherein said sealing gasket is formed from a thermoplastic elastomer.
- 4. (Original) The connecting member of claim 1, wherein said sealing gasket is formed from a thermoplastic elastomer.

-2-

Application No. 10/711,478 Response dated May 30, 2006 Reply to Office Action mailed May 10, 2006

5. (Original) An automotive interior trim assembly for coupling to a support in an

automobile, comprising:

a substrate member generally providing structural support for

the trim assembly, said substrate member having a front surface adapted to face the

interior of the automobile and a back surface adapted to face opposite the front surface;

and

a connecting member coupled to the back surface of said substrate

member, said connecting member comprising:

a connector body having a first end coupled to said substrate

member and a second end adapted to couple to the support, said connector body

having a first hardness; and

a sealing gasket integrally molded with said connector body, said

sealing gasket having a second hardness less than the first hardness and adapted to

form a seal between the connecting member and the support when the trim assembly is

coupled thereto.

6. (Withdrawn) The trim assembly of claim 5, wherein said connector body is integrally

molded with said substrate member.

7. (Original) The trim assembly of claim 5, wherein said connector body is formed from

polypropylene.

-3-

Application No. 10/711,478 Response dated May 30, 2006 Reply to Office Action mailed May 10, 2006

8. (Original) The trim assembly of claim 7, wherein said sealing gasket is formed from a thermoplastic elastomer. 9. (Original) The trim assembly of claim 5, wherein said sealing gasket is formed from a thermoplastic elastomer. 10. (Cancelled) 11. (Cancelled) 12. (Cancelled) 13. (Cancelled) 14. (Cancelled) 15. (Cancelled) 16. (Cancelled)

REMARKS

Claims 1-16 were originally filed in the application and are subject to a

restriction requirement. The Examiner has identified Group I as being directed to a trim

assembly connecting member and represented by claims 1-9, and Group II as being

directed to a method of making and represented by claims 10-16. In response to the

restriction, Applicants elect Group I represented by claims 1-9. The non-elected claims

10-16 have been cancelled without prejudice to the filing of one or more divisional

applications.

The Examiner has further made a species restriction. The Examiner has

identified Species A as being represented by figure 3, and Species B as being

represented by figure 4A. Applicants elect Species A represented by figure 3.

Applicants submit that claims 1-5 and 7-9 each read on the elected species. Applicants

further submit that all these claims are generic. Claim 6 is withdrawn until such time as

a generic claim is allowed.

Respectfully Submitted,

WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, L.L.P.

By: /Steven W. Benintendi/

Steven W. Benintendi

Reg. No. 56,297

2700 Carew Tower 441 Vine Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

(513) 241-2324 (voice)

(513) 241-6234 (fax)

-5-